UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,407	08/21/2003	Aleta Ricciardi	042837-0352243	7781
27498 7590 10/08/2009 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCL FAN WA 22102			EXAMINER	
			FRITZ, BRADFORD F	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2442	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket_ip@pillsburylaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,407	RICCIARDI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BRADFORD F. FRITZ	2442
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2009 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ne 37 CFR 1.85(a). Dijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/645,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 2442

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 46-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed computer readable medium is not a process, machine, manufacture, or composition which fails to establish a statutory category of invention (*i.e.*, the instructions could be transitory).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 31-39, 40-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner notes that any claim rejected and not specifically mentioned below remains rejected based on dependency.
- 5. The term "capable" in claims 1, 31, 40, 46, is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "capable" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,407 Page 3

Art Unit: 2442

6. The term "related" in claim 1, is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "related" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

- 7. Claim 1 recite the limitation "it". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "its". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the other surrogates". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 31 recites the limitation "the telephone network". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 11. Claim 31 recites the limitation "the remote computing devices". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 12. Claim 38 recites the limitation "the state". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 13. Claim 40 recites the limitation "the game". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 14. Claim 40 recites the limitation "the activity". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 15. Claim 46 recites the limitation "the game". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,407 Page 4

Art Unit: 2442

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

16. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 17. Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hutcheson et al. (2002/0061743), hereinafter referred to as Hutcheson.
- 18. Regarding claim 1, Hutcheson disclosed a capable network environment; a plurality of remote computing devices in communication with the capable network environment (abstract, paragraph 0060 and Fig. 2); and a plurality of surrogates operating within the capable network environment (abstract, paragraphs 0018-0019, 0127-0129, and Fig. 2); wherein each of the remote computing devices is associated with one of the surrogates and the surrogates are logically organized into groups allowing the remote devices related to the grouped surrogates to participate in an activity together (abstract, paragraphs 0018-0019, 0127-0129, and 0114, 0139).
- 19. Regarding claim 2, Hutcheson disclosed a software module for communicating with the remote computing device with which it is associated (abstract, paragraph 0060 and Fig. 2); a software module for communication with the other surrogates (paragraphs 0062-0063 and 0104-0107, Fig. 5); a software module for calculating changes of state

Application/Control Number: 10/645,407

Art Unit: 2442

with respect to the activity (paragraphs 0062-0063 and 0104-0107); a software module for calculating the state of the activity (paragraphs 0062-0063 and 0104-0107); a software module for storing its state with respect to the activity (paragraphs 0062-0063 and 0104-0107); a software module for capturing usage (paragraphs 0062-0063 and 0104-0107), activity and outcome (paragraphs 0062-0063 and 0104-0107); and a software module for buffering data and later transmitting communication to its computing device (paragraphs 0062-0063 and 0104-0107, Fig. 5); wherein each of the surrogates represents its associated remote computing device within the distributed computing application (abstract, paragraphs 0018-0019, 0127-0129, and Fig. 2).

Page 5

- 20. Regarding claim 3, Hutcheson disclosed wherein the at least one of the surrogates is further comprised of a group proxy (paragraphs 0114 and 0139).
- 21. Regarding claims 4 and 33, Hutcheson disclosed a group service operating within the network environment (abstract, paragraph 0060 and Fig. 2).
- 22. Regarding claims 5, 15, and 25, Hutcheson disclosed wherein the activity is a multiplayer game and each remote computing device is a game input/output device for a game player (abstract, paragraph 0060 and Fig. 2).
- 23. Regarding claims 6, 16, and 26, Hutcheson disclosed wherein the activity is an emergency first responder support system (abstract, paragraph 0060 and Fig. 2, intended use which Hutcheson's system could perform).
- 24. Regarding claims 7, 17, 27, 34, 44, and 50, Hutcheson disclosed wherein the remote computing devices are cellular telephones, personal digital assistants,

Art Unit: 2442

communicators, dedicated game devices, personal computers, laptop computers or work stations (paragraph 0100).

- 25. Regarding claims 8, 18, 28, and 35, Hutcheson disclosed wherein the remote computing devices are connected to the capable network environment via a wireless network, telephone network, wide area network, local area network or the Internet (paragraph 0081).
- 26. Regarding claims 9, 19, 29, 36, 45, and 51, Hutcheson disclosed wherein the capable network environment is comprised of a plurality of computers interconnected via a high Speed network (paragraph 0081).
- 27. Regarding claims 10, 20, 30, 37, and 52, Hutcheson disclosed wherein the computers are personal computers, work stations or network servers (paragraph 0100).
- 28. Regarding claims 11, 21, 40, and 46, Hutcheson disclosed a first remote device contacting a capable network environment and requesting to participate in the activity (paragraphs 0114, 0139, and Fig. 2); the network environment instantiating a first surrogate assigned to the first remote device (paragraphs 0018-0019 and 0127-129); a second remote device contacting the capable network environment and requesting to participate in the activity (paragraphs 0114, 0139, and Fig. 2); the network environment instantiating a second surrogate assigned to the second remote device (paragraphs 0018-0019 and 0127-129); arranging the first surrogate and the second surrogate into a group (paragraphs 0114, 0139, and Fig. 2); and the first remote device and the second remote device participating in the activity together (abstract, paragraphs 0114, 0139, and Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,407

Art Unit: 2442

29. Regarding claims 12, 22, 41, and 47, Hutcheson disclosed the step of the first surrogate and the second surrogate registering with a group service (paragraphs 0114, 0139).

Page 7

- 30. Regarding claims 13, 23, 42, and 48, Hutcheson disclosed the step of the group service providing the first surrogate with a first group proxy and the second surrogate with a second group proxy (abstract, paragraphs 0018-0019, 0127-0129, and Fig. 2).
- 31. Regarding claims 14, 24, 43, and 49, xxx disclosed wherein the group is a coordinator cohort group or a peer group (paragraphs 0114 and 0139).
- 32. Regarding claim 31, xxx disclosed a wireless telephone network; a capable network environment connected to the telephone network; a plurality of mobile devices wirelessly connected to the telephone network; and a plurality of surrogates operating within the capable network environment; wherein each of the remote computing devices is associated with one of the surrogates and the surrogates are logically organized into groups allowing the remote devices related to the grouped surrogate to participate in an activity together.
- 33. Regarding claim 32, Hutcheson disclosed a plurality of group proxies associated with the surrogates (paragraphs 0114 and 0139).
- 34. Regarding claim 38, Hutcheson disclosed wherein at least one of the surrogates in the group calculates the state of the activity (paragraphs 0062-63 and 0104-0107).
- 35. Regarding claim 39, Hutcheson disclosed wherein at least one of the mobile devices includes a software MIDlet that performs a portion of the game functions (paragraphs 0062-63 and 0104-0107).

Art Unit: 2442

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRADFORD F. FRITZ whose telephone number is (571)272-3860. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442

/B. F. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2442